

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/686,598	YOKOZAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian J. Davis	1621	

**All Participants:**

(1) Brian J. Davis.

(2) Warren M. Cheek, Jr.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6 July 2005

**Time:**   

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*A possible new 112, second paragraph, rejection of amended claim 1.*

**Claims discussed:**

*claim 1*

**Prior art documents discussed:**


*none*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend claim 1 by examiner's amendment in order to clarify the text of the claim such that a new 112, second paragraph, rejection could be avoided and the application passed to issue.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**BRIAN DAVIS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)